



Docket No.: 214595US0PCT

OBLON
SPIVAK
MCCLELIAND
MAIER
&
NEUSTADT
BC.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

RE: Application Serial No.: 09/926,256

Applicants: Naoyuki FUKUCHI, et al.

Filing Date: October 2, 2001

For: METHOD FOR PRODUCING SUBUNIT PEPTIDE

ORIGINATING FROM OLIGOMERIC PROTEIN

Group Art Unit: 1653 Examiner: Desai, A.U.

SIR:

Attached hereto for filing are the following papers:

## Response to Restriction Requirement International Preliminary Examination Report

Our check in the amount of \$0.00 is attached covering any required fees. In the event any variance exists between the amount enclosed and the Patent Office charges for filing the above-noted documents, including any fees required under 37 C.F.R 1.136 for any necessary Extension of Time to make the filing of the attached documents timely, please charge or credit the difference to our Deposit Account No. 15-0030. Further, if these papers are not considered timely filed, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for the necessary extension of time. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Stephen G. Baxter

Registration No. 32,884

Customer Number

22850

(703) 413-3000 (phone) (703) 413-2220 (fax) Vincent K. Shier, Ph.D. Registration No. 50,552

Docket No.: 214595US0PCT 3

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF:

NAOYUKI FUKUCHI ET AL : EXAMINER: DESAI, A. U.

SERIAL NO.: 09/926,256

FILED: OCTOBER 2, 2001 : GROUP ART UNIT: 1653

FOR: METHOD FOR PRODUCING SUBUNIT PEPTIDE ORIGINATING FROM

**OLIGOMERIC PROTEIN** 

## RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450

SIR:

Responsive to the Official Action dated September 16, 2005, Applicants elect, with traverse, Group I (Claims 1-10) for further prosecution.

## **REMARKS**

The Office has required restriction in the present application as follows:

Group I: Claims 1-10, drawn to a method of producing a subunit peptide; and

Group II: Claims 11-15, drawn to a subunit peptide.

In addition, the Examiner is requiring election of an single disclosed species for the elected group.

Applicants elect, with traverse, Group I (Claims 1-10) for further prosecution.

At the outset, Applicants note that the Examiner has not made a proper case under the PCT rules to support the lack of unity. In particular, Applicants note that the Examiner has